

**PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
COMMISSION DIRECTIVE**

ADMINISTRATIVE MATTER

☐

DATE

March 24, 2010

MOTOR CARRIER MATTER

☒

DOCKET NO.

2010-27-T

UTILITIES MATTER

☐

ORDER NO.

**SUBJECT:**

Docket No. 2010-27-T - Application of Share Care Transport, Incorporated, 313 Saint Andrews Lane, Myrtle Beach, South Carolina 29588 (District 1) for a Class C (Non-Emergency) Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity to transport passengers between points and places in South Carolina, restricted to eight (8) passengers. Discuss this Matter with the Commission.

**COMMISSION ACTION:**

This matter concerns the Application of Share Care Transport for a Class C (Non-Emergency) Certificate to operate in Charleston, Georgetown, Williamsburg, Berkeley, Marion, and Dillon Counties. A hearing in this matter was held on March 2, 2010. Under an outstanding objection from Share Care, the Pee Dee Regional Transportation Authority ("PDRTA") has filed a Petition to Intervene in this case and was allowed to participate at the hearing, with the condition that we would rule on the objection in the final order. PDRTA's petition to intervene protests Share Care's Application primarily on the basis that the public convenience and necessity is already being served and another Class C (Non-Emergency) carrier is unnecessary, as well as claiming a lack of information as to the fitness requirement under Commission regulations. I move that we grant PDRTA's Petition to Intervene. Regarding Share Care's Application, I find that we should grant the Certificate. PDRTA is a government funded entity, not regulated by this Commission, while Share Care is a private company which is regulated by this Commission. PDRTA stated that granting authority to Share Care would not negatively impact the financial condition of PDRTA. As brought out in the hearing, the areas where Share Care plans to offer services overlap PDRTA's existing service territory in only two counties out of the six where Share Care proposes to operate, and the record shows that the actual services proposed to be offered by Share Care have significant dissimilarities from those services offered by PDRTA. As a result, PDRTA has not shown that the public convenience and necessity is already being fully served by a carrier. On the other hand, Share Care has shown that it is fit, willing, and able to provide the services that it has applied to do. They have vehicles, insurance, and drivers with good safety records and qualifications, and the record indicates that the Company has been involved with the training and learning required to perform its business. In addition, ORS has inspected Share Care and given them a satisfactory rating. On the basis of the evidence presented, I move that we grant the Application.

PRESIDING: FlemingSESSION: RegularTIME: 2:30 p.m.

	MOTION	YES	NO	OTHER
FLEMING	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
HAMILTON	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
HOWARD	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
MITCHELL	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>Not Voting</u>
WHITFIELD	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
WRIGHT	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

Family Sick Leave on the day of the hearing

(SEAL)

RECORDED BY: J. Schmieding

